

TERM OF COMMISSION: January Session of the January Adjourned Term

PLACE OF MEETING: Roger B. Wilson Boone County Government Center
Commission Chambers

PRESENT WERE: Presiding Commissioner Kenneth M. Pearson
District I Commissioner Karen M. Miller
District II Commissioner Skip Elkin
Deputy County Clerk Kristina Johnson

The meeting was called to order at 9:33 a.m.

Health Department

Kala Gunier from the Health Department was present on behalf of this item.

1. Abatement (first and second reading)

Kala Gunier stated she had met with the property owner this morning and she has asked if the County could give her three more weeks. Ms. Gunier stated she had a brief phone conversation with her on 1/8/2010 and the property owner stated they had been out of town which is why she has not responded to any of the correspondence. Ms. Gunier stated she had told the women that she was not going to cancel the hearing because Ms. Gunier stated she wanted to keep the property owner motivated. Ms. Gunier stated she asks that the order be made but the three week extension be granted and she will continue to work with the property owner.

Commissioner Miller moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby authorize the Presiding Commissioner to sign the attached Finding of Public Nuisance and Order for Abatement of a public nuisance located at 2753 W. Mill Creek Terrace (parcel # 16-800-34-02-001.00 01).

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion or public comment.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order # 5-2010**

Planning and Building

Stan Shawver from Planning and Building was present on behalf of this item.

Bill Florea from Planning and Building was present on behalf of this item.

2. Planning and Building Inspection Annual Report

Stan Shawver stated in 2009 the Commission processed 10 rezoning requests and 8 were approved; there were 7 conditional use permits and 5 were approved; of the rezoning requests 247 acres rezoned. In the past five years:

2009

	10 rezoning requests	8 approved
	7 conditional use permits	5 approved
	acres rezoned 247.11	
2008	16 rezoning requests	11 approved
	6 conditional use permits	5 approved
	acres rezoned 66.2	
2007	8 rezoning requests	6 approved
	2 conditional use permits	1 approved
	acres rezoned 59.48	
2006	12 rezoning requests	7 approved
	14 conditional use permits	12 approved
	acres rezoned 123.20	
2005	17 rezoning requests	12 approved
	9 conditional use permits	9 approved
	acres rezoned 88.06	

Mr. Shawver stated in 2009 there was 12 subdivision plats processed. This is the smallest number of subdivision plats during that period of time. There are still many lots out around the County they are not close to being exhausted.

Commissioner Miller asked if Mr. Shawver would be able to provide the number of lots still available.

Mr. Shawver stated that would not be a problem. Mr. Shawver stated that in 2009 building activity was as follows:

Total Miles Driven: 68,389

Average Miles Driven Per Day: 61

Inspections Performed: 4,770

Average Structure Value: \$75,786.14

Average Single Family Value: \$190,254.40

New Homes Exceeding \$200,000.00: 37 (29.4%)

BOONE COUNTY PLANNING & BUILDING INSPECTION
801 E. WALNUT ST. RM 210
COLUMBIA, MO 65201
(573) 886-4330

2009		BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PERMITS		2009	
STATUS CODE	CONSTRUCTION TYPE	NUMBER	VALUATION		
RESIDENTIAL					
101	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL	126	\$ 23,972,054.00		
102	SINGLE FAMILY ATTACHED	3	\$ 390,000.00		
103	TWO FAMILY BUILDINGS	7	\$ 995,000.00		
104	THREE AND FOUR FAMILY	0	\$ -		
105	FIVE OR MORE FAMILY	0	\$ -		
112	MOBILE HOMES	15	\$ 218,900.00		
114	MODULAR/DOUBLE WIDE	9	\$ 442,200.00		
RES. NONHOUSEKEEPING					
213	HOTELS, MOTELS	0	\$ -		
214	OTHER NON HOUSEKEEPING	0	\$ -		
NONRESIDENTIAL					
318	AMUSEMENT/RECREATIONAL	0	\$ -		
319	CHURCHES/RELIGIOUS BLDG.	1	\$ 250,000.00		
320	INDUSTRIAL BLDG.	1	\$ 1,350,000.00		
321	PUBLIC GARAGES	0	\$ -		
322	SERVICE STATIONS	0	\$ -		
323	HOSPITALS/INSTITUTES	0	\$ -		
324	OFFICE/PROFESSIONAL BLDG	1	\$ 35,000.00		
325	PUBLIC WORKS/UTILITY BLDG	7	\$ 2,370,114.00		
326	SCHOOL/EDUCATIONAL BLDG	1	\$ 5,000.00		
327	STORES/MERCANTILE BLDG	4	\$ 215,000.00		
328	OTHER NONRESIDENTIAL	87	\$ 1,588,252.00		
329	OTHER STRUCTURES	14	\$ 198,100.00		
ADDITIONS/ALTERATIONS					
434	RESIDENTIAL	146	\$ 3,051,835.00		
437	NONRESIDENTIAL	43	\$ 2,830,489.00		
438	RESIDENTIAL GARAGES	63	\$ 1,508,176.00		
MISCELLANEOUS					
		217	\$ 255,904.00		
TOTALS		745	\$ 39,676,024.00		

Commissioner Elkin asked what year building permits were filed in, the year it was pulled or the year it was completed?

Mr. Shawver stated we report the data at the point of issue, but we track inspection across the total construction period, which may take several years.

3. Consideration of a letter in support of a grant to be submitted by University of Missouri regarding Hinkson Creek (first and second reading)

Bill Florea stated he received a request from Barry Poulten who works for the US Geologic Survey and he and Dr. Robert Sites from the University of Missouri are putting together this application for a non-point source grant. When DNR did their study at Hinkson Creek they used four parameters and what Dr. Sites and Mr. Poulten are purposing to do is a more in depth and rigorous study of Hinkson Creek which will look at sixteen parameters.

Commissioner Miller asked if they would be able to identify the streams pollutant?

Mr. Florea stated it would certainly narrow it down. This is something that would really benefit the TMDL which they have not been able to identify the specific pollutant. This is certainly a more thorough academic study which would get the County in the right direction.

Commissioner Pearson inquired so the County will then have better data?

Mr. Florea stated there should be better data. They are not asking for any kind of financial contribution from the County, this is strictly a letter stating the County understands this will benefit them and they support this study. They do have an educational component of their grant and they would like to partner with us on some of the things that the County is already doing.

Commissioner Elkin moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby approve the letter in support of a grant to be submitted by University of Missouri regarding the Hinkson Creek.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion or public comment.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order # 6-2010**

Public Works Department

Georganne Bowman from Public Works was present on behalf of this item.

4. Storm Water Ordinance (first reading)

Georganne Bowman stated this ordinance addresses three main things: illicit discharge, attention elimination, and how the County is going to conduct future inspections; construction site runoff controls; and long term storm water management. This ordinance provides all of those requirements the way that the County is required to do. Ms. Bowman stated she believes this is a good ordinance.

Commissioner Miller asked Ms. Bowman to go over the clarifications the County made based

on public comment that the Planning and Building Inspection received.

Commissioner Pearson stated maybe before that you could summarize the process this went through for the record.

Ms. Bowman stated she started in 2008 and they began having weekly meetings developing this ordinance. Originally they hired Christine Lubbering with SKW to develop the County's ordinance and help form the manual that accompanies this ordinance. Stakeholders have been invited to come, two engineering firms were there and very instrumental in helping develop this process, private citizens as well so we have used all of this information in drafting this ordinance. There have been three public hearings around the County. After these three meetings the public comment was gathered and developed and the changes that resulted were brought back to the P&Z Commission. These changes were accepted and now this is in Commission.

Commissioner Miller stated this goes way back before 2008. This was recommended from the Joint City County Task Force and the County incorporated their thoughts all along.

Commissioner Pearson stated there were three public hearings all around the County correct?

Ms. Bowman stated yes.

Commissioner Pearson stated so these three hearings in different parts of the County allowed for individuals around the County to appear and ask questions, make comments, and give suggestions.

Ms. Bowman stated yes there was even a press release two days before the first public hearing to let everyone know where the hearings would be and what they would be for.

Commissioner Pearson stated when this went through Planning and Zoning there was additional opportunity for citizens to make comments.

Ms. Bowman stated yes.

Commissioner Pearson stated the work sessions that were held all along, the work sessions that the County had with the engineers were always public. Commissioner Pearson stated I think Mr. Stamper was present at a few of these hearings as well.

Don Stamper stated just a handful.

Commissioner Pearson stated what he is trying to impress upon is that the County has been trying to be as open as possible and include as many comments in the development of this.

Commissioner Miller asked for Ms. Bowman to describe some of the changes that were made based on public comment.

Ms. Bowman stated there were numerous language changes adding definitions to some of the sensitive areas, and word redevelopment. Clarify some of the changes and plan submittal. There were some changes so there would be consistency between the storm water permit and the land disturbance permit so those ran congruent with each other. Another change in section 3.7 the permit owner would be responsible for recording the maintenance agreements. There was also a change from non-residential to a listing of all non-residential zoning codes that would be captured in those areas. There was a change from engineer and geologist to professional engineer and professional geologist.

Don Stamper from the Central Missouri Development Council asked if someone would point to the place in the document where the bonding requirements are?

Ms. Bowman stated Section 8.4, p 36.

Mr. Stamper stated it was his understanding that this would continue to be a performance bond or a guarantee there is an addition to other required performance bonds.

Ms. Bowman stated yes.

Mr. Stamper asked if this would continue to be separate bonds?

Ms. Bowman stated they could be one together.

Mr. Stamper stated he had a question's over 9.3. At what point was the wording added in a public form?

Ms. Bowman stated the Planning and Zoning Committee made a motion to accept an addition to that.

Mr. Stamper asked when that happened?

Ms. Bowman stated November 17, 2009.

Mr. Stamper stated the majority of his comments he will hold until the second reading.

Commissioner Pearson stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available commission meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

John Pekkala 4350 N Rte E. Mr. Pekkala stated this proposal is bad for taxpayers, bad for land owners, bad for business, bad for job creation, bad for freedom. It is also bad because it will not solve the water runoff problem.

These proposed storm water regulations would result in property sale proceeds going way down for many Boone County Property owners. It is a taking of property value. Thousands

of dollars per acre will be stolen from Boone County taxpayers. The proposed storm water regulations will require an expensive new bureaucracy. We cannot afford this. How did we get here? Well, unelected bureaucrats in Washington DC issued a mandate. A land grab, more power for the federal government. The County Commission does not work for the EPA. They work for us. Years of meetings took place about how to comply with the mandate. This massive plan and the recently passed stream buffer theft is where we are. But there is good news. The County already has a vast countywide storm water system. The road ditches, gutters and the culverts under roads. Storm Water runs from fields and drives and roads into the road ditches and culverts. Where is the Pollution? In the road ditches. Where is the water the fastest? In the road ditches. Where do we currently have control. You guessed it the road ditches. There is already a road and bridge dept. to manage this water. Any new developments will have roads and so, that water can be managed also. The County needs to take responsibility not take private property. Take care of their own storm water before complaining about others.

This will be cheaper, faster, and more effective and can work on existing water problems, not just the future. No new bureaucracy, no loss of freedom, no confusing hundreds of pages of rules and regulations. Solve the problem, road by road one at a time until you get it right. Start with the worst problem area first. And we can start right now, just call the road and bridge department and get it going.

The citizens of Boone County can and will also help reduce.

Individual voluntary action, yard by yard, property by property. Where is the counties storm water garden? Does the jail have rain barrels? We can help the streams on our own without a big bureaucracy and staling our neighbors property. Not everyone will participate, but so what? Not everyone recycles, but billions and billions of pounds are recycled, through individual voluntary action. I implore the Commission to rethink and not pass the current proposal take care of what you already have control of first.

Do not pass this storm water boondoggle. It is not too late to change to a better, cheaper, less intrusive plan. Fix what you already own and control. We already have a storm water system. Also part of this same mandate is the steam buffer ordinance, let's repeal the recent stream buffer taking. Our government is supposed to protect our property rights not take them.

Commissioner Pearson stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available commission meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

5. Approve Grant Application (second reading, first read on 1/07/2010)

Georgianne Bowman stated this is a 319 nonpoint source grant application the County is making to the Department of Natural Resources. The funding is federal. These are highly competitive grants. The County is in a good position for receiving this grant because they target areas where there is already a management plan in place and rural areas. The Hinkson Creek has developed that portion of the management plan so there is already in place two of those three. The project is a capitol improvement project and the County is pairing with the City. The City is going to be addressing some of the storm water issues that they are having on a fishing site? The County is scaling back this project and is now at \$1.3 million dollars.

The project removed some of the tree boxes and some of the transfer study that was to take place. Much of this project will focus on Sunrise Estates.

Commissioner Pearson inquired if MU is still participating in this project?

Ms. Bowman stated they have just reduced the level. This grant is due to DNR by Friday.

Commissioner Pearson asked if the media was present in the audience and asked them to raise their hands. He inquired if they were present the other day when the first reading was done.

Media stated they were not.

Commissioner Pearson stated that they might want to talk to Ms. Bowman and get a little more information. Commissioner Pearson stated he believes this represents a great example of trying to get data that is relevant to the Mid-West and the soil here on how to deal with runoff. Most of the data that is available out there is based on research that has been done on the East Coast with different soil geological types. Ms. Bowman is a pro on this so she might help you to gather some information on this. This is an excellent opportunity to show that Boone County and the City are working together to try to improve water quality.

Commissioner Miller moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby approve the Boone County Public Works application for the Nonpoint Source Implementation Grant. It is further ordered the Presiding Commissioner is hereby authorized to sign said grant application.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion or public comment.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order 7-2010**

6. 2010 Consultant Agreements (second reading, first read on 1/07/2010):

- a. A Civil Group
- b. Allstate Consultants
- c. Bartlett & West Inc.
- d. Bucher, Willis & Ratliff Corp.
- e. Butler, Rosenbury & Associates
- f. CM Engineering
- g. Cole & Associates
- h. Crockett Engineering Consultants
- i. Engineering Surveys & Services
- j. Hanson Professional Services, Inc.
- k. Harrington and Cortelyou, Inc.
- l. Horner & Shifrin, Inc.

- m. Olsson Associates
- n. Scroggs Architecture, P.C.
- o. Shafer, Kline & Warren
- p. Simon & Associates
- q. Terracon
- r. Trabue, Hansen & Hinshaw, Inc.
- s. Transystems Corp.

Commissioner Elkin moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby approve the following consultant agreements:

A Civil Group
Allstate Consultants
Bartlett & West Inc.
Bucher, Willis & Ratliff Corp.
Butler, Rosenbury & Associates
CM Engineering
Cole & Associates
Crockett Engineering Consultants
Engineering Surveys & Services
Hanson Professional Services, Inc.
Harrington and Cortelyou, Inc.
Horner & Shifrin, Inc.
Olsson Associates
Scroggs Architecture, P.C.
Shafer, Kline & Warren
Simon & Associates
Terracon
Trabue, Hansen & Hinshaw, Inc.
Transystems Corp.

It is further ordered the Presiding Commissioner is hereby authorized to sign said agreements.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion or public comment.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order 8-2010**

7. Meyer Industrial Blvd. Extension Contract (second reading, first read on 1/07/2010)

Commissioner Miller moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby approve the Agreement for Consultant Services with Allstate Consultants LLC for the Meyer Industrial Blvd. Extension project. The terms of this contract are stipulated in the attached agreement. It is further ordered the Presiding Commissioner is hereby authorized to sign said contract.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

Commissioner Pearson stated this is for consultant work on Meyer Industrial Blvd. which is out behind Public Works and the new training facility for the Police Department. This is for \$18,000.00.

There was no further discussion or public comment.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order 9-2010**

Purchasing

Melinda Bobbitt from Purchasing was present on behalf of this item.

8. Sole Source Renewals for 2010 (first reading)

Melinda Bobbitt stated purchasing has received requests from departments to renew on-going sole source approvals. We are requesting approval to renew the attached list of sole source vendors for another year, ending on December 31, 2010. The 2010 list of vendors was advertised in the Columbia Missourian on January 8, 2010.

Commissioner Pearson stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available commission meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

9. 70-24Nov09 Records Shredding and Disposal Services Term and Supply (first reading)

Ms. Bobbitt stated the bid for Records Shredding and Disposal Services Term and Supply closed on November 24, 2009. Three bids were received. The Boone County Clerk and Boone County Circuit Clerk evaluated the bids and recommend a multi-vendor award, low bid by line item: Off-Site Records Shredding to Data Retention Services and On-Site Records Shredding to Civic Recycling. These are County-wide contracts, and the primary users are County Clerk, Circuit Clerk, Prosecuting Attorney and Court Administration. The contract period is January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 with four one-year optional renewals.

Commissioner Pearson stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available commission meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

10. 92-30Jun10SS CelleBrite UFED Forensic Extraction Device (second reading, first read on 1/07/2010)

Commissioner Elkin moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby approve Sole Source Purchase – 92-30Jun10SS – CelleBrite UFED Forensic

Extraction Device – with CelleBrite USA Corporation of Glen Rock, New Jersey. It is further ordered the Presiding Commissioner is hereby authorized to sign said sole source form.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion or public comment.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order 10-2010**

11. 63-05Nov09 Boone County Jail Parking Lot (second reading, first read on 11/12/09)

Commissioner Miller moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby award bid 63-05Nov09 Boone County Jail Parking Lot to Stockman Construction Corporation. It is further ordered the Presiding Commissioner is hereby authorized to sign said contract.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion or public comment.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order 11-2010**

Sewer District

Tom Ratermann from the Sewer District was present on behalf of this item.

12. Consider Resolution to DNR to Award Construction Contract Brown Station NID (first reading)

Mr. Ratermann stated the resolution and the memo were e-mailed to the Commission yesterday.

Commissioner Miller asked if Mr. Ratermann had time to speak with CJ Dykhouse the County Counselor about how this would be handled?

Mr. Ratermann stated yes.

Commissioner Miller asked if Mr. Ratermann could explain that first before the Commission continues with the resolution.

Mr. Ratermann stated yes. The memo explains the cost that has incurred to date over this project which is about \$36,000.00. Some of these items are grant eligible and some are not. Most of these are eligible; all of the engineering items are eligible. Table two, or the non-construction cost that is anticipated only one item is grant eligible which is the construction

and inspection. In table three there is a summary of the cost incurred and the cost anticipated and Mr. Ratermann stated he thinks they have all spoken in the last month that the original project was setup to serve five properties and the sixth property wanted to join and so there has been preparation to add the sixth property to the amendment. This has been circulated and signed by 100% of the property owners in the neighborhood improvement district. This has not been presented this amended petition to the Commission yet because the bids came in higher than anticipated. The original petition estimate was 102,000.00 split five ways that would be \$20,000.00 and this cannot be exceeded by more than 25%. Mr. Ratermann stated that what we are purposing in the revised petition is the sum of the low bidder and the cost that has been incurred to date and the anticipated cost. This total comes to \$173,000.00 which cannot be exceeded by more than 25% which is \$217,000.00. Six ways this is \$28,000.00 and not exceeding this number by more than 25% is \$36,000.00. The noneligible costs are about \$21,000.00 and six ways is about \$3,500.00. The petition amount of \$173,000.00 and subtract off the ineligible costs and divided by two comes to \$76,000.00 and that is the dollar amount of the ARRA Grant. Andy has arranged a meeting with the property owners for Thursday night and we will have the petition amended by Thursday night to add a sixth property owner, change the petition amount, and stipulate the dollar amount of the ARRA Grant which is about \$76,000.00.

Commissioner Miller asked if that was guaranteed? Because the Commission cannot award a contract without knowing that somebody else is paying for this.

Commissioner Pearson stated she is asking if Mr. Ratermann is going to be able to get the grant for this.

Commissioner Miller asked is the grant guaranteed? Is there some way of getting a guarantee from DNR that they will approve it if those people re-petition? There is no way the County Commission can award a contract without all of this, done first.

Mr. Ratermann stated he would suggest this resolution is not making the award. This resolution is really for the targeted financial resources. We have to send about fourteen different documents to DNR for approval, and this document is one of them. DNR wants to know who the County has chosen to award the construction contract to. The County is indicating by this resolution that the Commission is choosing to award the contract to the apparent lowest and best bidder, with four contingencies: (1) execution of contract documents by the Presiding Commissioner of the Boone County Commission and an authorized representative of Travis Hodge Hauling, LLC., (2) submission and approval of required bonding documents and insurance certificates, (3) approval by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources of contract award requirements for participation in the Missouri State Revolving Fund and the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and (4) securing financing for the project.

Commissioner Elkin stated so this resolution is contingent on the County getting the ARRA Grant.

Mr. Ratermann stated correct.

Commissioner Elkin stated so if the County does not get the ARRA grant then all bets are off.

Mr. Ratermann stated yes.

Commissioner Elkin stated by the NID Law this exceeds the 25% correct?

Mr. Ratermann stated correct.

Commissioner Pearson inquired as to when the meeting with the six property owners is going to be.

Mr. Ratermann stated the meeting is this Thursday evening.

Commissioner Pearson inquired as to if Mr. Ratermann was going to walk the property owners through this whole process?

Mr. Ratermann stated yes.

Commissioner Pearson stated this is a good deal for them as long as the process works.

Mr. Ratermann stated it is.

Commissioner Pearson stated they need to understand this is all contingent on DNR being willing to do this.

Mr. Ratermann stated we will structure the petition that way to give them that assurance.

Commissioner Miller asked if CJ Dykhouse said we could do that?

Mr. Ratermann stated right.

Commissioner Miller stated that was her biggest concern in case the funding did not come thru. She would not sign a petition unless there was some out.

Mr. Ratermann stated he did not know if the Commission had seen the e-mail from the County Treasurer stating that all the Bonding documents were scheduled for the 19th.

Commissioner Miller stated the County Auditor would not sign off on this because she was very uncomfortable. Commissioner Miller stated she is trying to make Mr. Ratermann understand that people are weary of this.

Mr. Ratermann stated this is why I have been working with CJ Dykhouse to try to clarify

some of this.

Commissioner Pearson stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available commission meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

13. Boone County Sponsored ARRA Energy Grants for the Sewer District (first reading)

Commissioner Miller inquired if item number 17 could be bumped so Tom Ratermann did not have to wait.

Commissioner Pearson stated he would bump item 17 up.

Commissioner Miller stated the Commission does not have the application in their packets and inquired if Mr. Ratermann had brought the application with him.

Mr. Ratermann stated he did not. There are about four wastewater district plants in the Sewer District that might qualify for the Energy Grants. Mr. Ratermann stated they are still trying to figure out if the payback period would be less than ten years. Mr. Ratermann stated the purpose of the Memorandum of Understanding is with this Energy Efficiency Block Grants only a County Commissioner or City Council can apply for these. So the County Commission would be applying on behalf of the Boone County Sewer District and this Memorandum of Understanding puts the recording requirements of the grant on the Sewer District, if any penalties are applied if the recording requirements are not met they are responsible.

Commissioner Pearson asked if CJ Dykhouse drafted this memorandum?

Commissioner Miller asked if Mr. Ratermann would have the application ready on Thursday?

Mr. Ratermann stated he was not sure.

Commissioner Miller stated this is due on Friday so this must be to us by Thursday at 1:30.

Mr. Ratermann stated okay.

Commissioner Pearson stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available commission meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

Auditor's Office

14. Increase appropriations in Sheriff's Training Fund to cover FY 2009 training costs (second reading, first read on 12/29/2009)

Commissioner Elkin moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby approve the following budget amendment to increase expenditure accounts for Sheriff's training fund:

Department	Account	Department Name	Account Name	Decrease \$	Increase \$
2510	37230	Training Fund	Meals & Lodging		3,420.00
2510	37200	Training Fund	Seminars/Conf. Meet		1,740.00
2510	37210	Training Fund	Training/Schools		5,410.00
2510	37220	Training Fund	Travel		100.00

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion or public comment.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order 12- 2010**

15. Establish appropriation to transfer funds from Sheriff Forfeiture Fund to the General Fund to correct a 2008 error in reporting proceeds from sale of vehicle (second reading, first read on 12/29/2009)

Commissioner Miller moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby approve the following budget amendment to transfer funds from the sale of RV recorded incorrectly as forfeiture revenue and should have been general fund revenue:

Department	Account	Department Name	Account Name	Decrease \$	Increase \$
2500	83917	Forfeiture Fund	Operating Trsf OUT		3,050.00
1190	03917	Non-Departmental	Operating Trsf IN		3,050.00

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion or public comment.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order 13- 2010**

Commission Office

16. Boone County Government Center Phase II Services – Design Development Report (first and second reading)

Commissioner Elkin moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby acknowledge the conclusion of the Design Development portion of Phase II services with Simon Oswald Architecture for the Boone County Government Center Remodel and authorize to proceed with Construction Documents. It is further ordered the Boone County Commissioners are hereby authorized to sign said contract.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion or public comment.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order 14- 2010**

17. ARRA Energy Grants (first reading)

Bob Davidson from Maintenance Facilities and Porcshe Moran from the Commission Office were present on behalf of this item.

Mr. Davidson stated this is a Federal Grant given to the Department of Natural Resources to manage. This is all on energy savings and job creation. Boone County would use the money to increase the efficiency of the operation of Boone County buildings. Since Boone County is generating buildings the County is going to upgrade to more efficient equipment in the renovations.

Commissioner Miller asked if he could provide some examples, of the things that will be applied for?

Mr. Davidson stated yes. One of the easiest items to display is the conversion of the lighting from the T12 lighting to T8. This will save us eight dollars per four foot lamp, which will come to almost \$7,000.00. This would be a project that would be done in house, with our own people.

Commissioner Pearson asked if he had handy an estimate of how much the County would save on our costs?

Mr. Davidson stated he did not today. Another item to change out would be the possibility of the Courthouse chiller. This was a 200 ton unit which has been running for seventeen years now and it has been used and abused. The incentive on this would be \$8,800.00. Another item is a fifty year old boiler. This provisionally was an 80% efficient boiler. When you are 50 years old you are not running at your expected rate. Mr. Davidson stated it is running at somewhere between 55 and 60% efficient. A new boiler can be put in which is 90% efficient. This would cost the County around \$2,700.00 to put in, with the \$2,500.00 grant and energy savings that should be paid for relatively quickly.

Commissioner Pearson stated so the grant in most cases is not really paying for the entire

cost but where the benefit is real savings on the energy bills.

Mr. Davidson stated the way he sees it is that these are not new units the grant is paying for but upgrading the units. Another item will be in the Johnston Paint Building. The County is looking at putting in two furnaces instead of an air-handler and they will come in at 92% efficient.

Commissioner Miller asked what kind of rebate the County would get on that.

Mr. Davidson stated that rebate was \$300.00 per unit for a total of \$600.00. In the Government Center one of the big energy savers is going to be the HVAC Occupancy Mode Controls. This costs less then \$10,000.00 to implement and the incentive comes in at \$8,340.00. In just over a year this will pay for itself.

Commissioner Pearson asked what is the percentage gain on energy efficiency? Do you have that there?

Mr. Davidson stated he does not have the percentage with him.

Commissioner Elkin inquired about the ventilation for the Ceremonial Courtroom.

Mr. Davidson stated this is on the list to update. The County is waiting on a quote for this.

Commissioner Pearson stated there should be a little more information on this coming forward.

Commissioner Miller stated so by Thursday this will be rapped up in terms of where the funding is coming from and what the County will be going after. The funding component is important for insuring that the Auditor will be comfortable signing off on this grant.

Commissioner Pearson stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available commission meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

18. Budget Amendment for Fairgrounds Maintenance (second reading, first read on 12/29/2009)

Commissioner Elkin moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby approve the following budget amendment for Fairgrounds Maintenance Fund:

Department	Account	Department Name	Account Name	Decrease \$	Increase \$
2120	91200	Fairgrounds Maint.	Bldg & Improvement		1,902.00
2120	23001	Fairgrounds Maint.	Printing		183.00

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion or public comment.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order 15- 2010**

19. Approval of contract with the City of Columbia for a Joint Communications temporary staff position (second reading, first read on 1/07/2010)

Commissioner Miller moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby approve the agreement with the City of Columbia for the Joint Communications staff position. It is further ordered the Presiding Commissioner is hereby authorized to sign said agreement.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion or public comment.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order 16- 2010**

Miscellaneous

20. Public Comment

21. Commissioner Reports

The meeting adjourned at 10:52 a.m.

Attest:

Kenneth M. Pearson
Presiding Commissioner

Wendy S. Noren
Clerk of the County Commission

Karen M. Miller
District I Commissioner

Skip Elkin
District II Commissioner