

TERM OF COMMISSION: February Session of the February Adjourned Term

PLACE OF MEETING: Boone County Government Center Commission Chambers

PRESENT WERE: Presiding Commissioner Don Stamper  
District I Commissioner Karen M. Miller  
District II Commissioner Skip Elkin  
Deputy County Clerk Shawna Victor  
County Counsel John Patton

The meeting was called to order at 1:30 p.m.

**Subject: Sheriff's Department**

**A. Presentation by Columbia Cosmopolitan Luncheon Club of \$8,500 donation**

Sheriff Ted Boehm stated the Sheriff's Department would like to recognize the Cosmopolitan Luncheon Club. He is impressed by the community support the Sheriff's Department receives and the support the Luncheon Club has given. This is the second police dog the Luncheon Club has purchased for the Sheriff's Department.

Phil Hanson, President of the Columbia Cosmopolitan Luncheon Club, stated the Cosmo Club is known for their support of community projects, most notable the parks in Columbia. There has been a long relationship with the law enforcement and the club.

Mr. Hanson presented Sheriff Boehm a check for \$8,500 to go toward the purchase of the police dog.

Mr. Hanson introduced Urban Wussler, Captain Beverly Braun, and Major O.J. Stone, members of the Luncheon Club who are present for this presentation.

Sheriff Boehm stated he attended the Annual Pancake Breakfast sponsored by the Luncheon Club and noticed on the place mats that the Luncheon Club financially supports 52 organizations in the community.

Commissioner Stamper stated the work done by the Luncheon Club is recognized by the Commission and is important to the community.

Sergeant Dan Johnson, Casper's handler, stated that Casper is 3 years old and Sergeant Johnson has had him since May 2001. Casper is trained in drugs, tracing, and apprehension. The dogs go through a five-week training.

Commissioner Stamper thanked the Luncheon Club for their support and the good it brings to the people of Boone County.

**B. Second Reading and Public Hearing of Budget Amendment**

Commissioner Stamper stated the Commission has been presented with a budget amendment in the amount of \$49,925.00. This is for federal grant reimbursement for revenue received.

Commissioner Stamper asked for any public comment on this issue. There was no one present wishing to speak.

Commissioner Miller moved to approve the following budget amendment:

Department Account and Title	Amount Increase
2530-23200: Ammunition	\$1,284.00
2530-23850: Minor Equipment	\$4,545.00
2530-60050: Maintenance Contract	\$9,255.00
2530-91300: Machinery and Equipment	\$21,541.00
2530-91301: Computer Hardware	\$7,340.00
2530-91000: Office Equipment	\$5,960.00
2530-03411: Federal Grant Reimbursement (Revenue)	\$49,925.00

Said budget amendment is to establish budget for purchasing equipment with Block Grant Funds.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 63-2002**

**Subject: Boone County Regional Sewer District – First Reading of Recommendation for Cow Branch Interceptor Sewers**

Commissioner Elkin stated the Sewer District was approached by a gentleman, who lives in the Prathersville area, who would like to put in a sewage lagoon. With the need for the entire area for a regional sewer system, the Sewer District looked at the big picture of the needs of the entire area. For this to happen, they would have to tie into a city sewer main. By signing the easement, the Sewer District would be able to tie into the city sewer main and eventually be able to tie the entire area into a central system.

Commissioner Stamper stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

**Subject: Central Missouri Counties Human Development Corporation – Second Reading and Approval of Revision for Combining Voucher and Certificate program for HUD Section 8**

Commissioner Stamper moved to authorize the Presiding Commissioner to sign Estimate of Total Required Annual Contributions, Requisition for Partial Payments of Annual Contributions, Disclosure of Lobbying Activities, and Certification of Payments to Influence Federal Transactions for the Central Missouri Counties' Human Development Corporation for the Voucher Program.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 64-2002**

**Subject: Purchasing Department**

Melinda Bobbitt, Purchasing Department Director, was present on behalf of these items.

**A. Second Reading and Approval of Amendment to Verizon Local Telephone Services**

Melinda Bobbitt stated this amendment would change the primary address to 705 E. Walnut Street and adds the statement "All customer locations located in Boone County, Missouri".

Commissioner Elkin moved to approve Contract Amendment #1 for Verizon Local Telephone Service.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 65-2002**

**B. Second Reading and Award of Bid 03-23JAN02 (Time Clock)**

Mrs. Bobbitt stated the recommendation for award is to Accutime Corporation. The total contract amount is \$7,165.80.

Commissioner Elkin moved to award bid 03-23JAN02 for the furnishing, delivery, installation, and training for a time clock to Accutime Corporation of St. Louis, Missouri.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 66-2002**

**C. Second Reading and Award of Bid 05-23JAN02 (Emergency Electrical Services Term and Supply)**

Mrs. Bobbitt stated the recommendation for award is to Meyer Electric Company. This is a term and supply contract through December 31, 2002, with two, one-year renewals.

Commissioner Elkin moved to award bid 05-23JAN02 for Emergency Electrical Services Term and Supply to Meyer Electric Company.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 67-2002**

**D. Second Reading and Award of Bid 06-23JAN02 (Emergency HVAC Services Term and Supply)**

Mrs. Bobbitt stated recommendation for award is to three vendors; J. Louis Crum Corporation, Drummond Mechanical Contractors, and Fisher Environmental Controls, Inc. This is a term and supply contract through December 31, 2002, with two, one-year renewals.

Commissioner Elkin moved to award bid 06-23JAN02 for Emergency HVAC Services Term and Supply as follows:

- Primary Supplier: J. Louis Crum Corporation
- Secondary Supplier: Drummond Mechanical Contractors
- Tertiary Supplier: Fisher Environmental Controls, Inc.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

Commissioner Stamper stated the reason for awarding these bids like this is to have companies available to respond to the various County buildings when needed if County staff cannot handle a problem.

There was no further discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 68-2002**

**E. Second Reading and Award of Bid 07-23JAN02 (Emergency Plumbing Services Term and Supply)**

Mrs. Bobbitt stated recommendation for award is to three vendors; Master Tech Plumbing, J. Louis Crum Corporation, and Drummond Mechanical Contractors. This is a term and supply contract through December 31, 2002, with two, one-year renewals.

Commissioner Elkin moved to award bid 07-23JAN02 for Emergency Plumbing Services Term and Supply as follows:

Primary Supplier: Master Tech Plumbing  
Secondary Supplier: J. Louis Crum Corporation  
Tertiary Supplier: Drummond Mechanical Contractors

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 69-2002**

**F. Second Reading and Award of Bid 04-31JAN02 (Academy Road)**

Mrs. Bobbitt stated recommendation for award is to Steve and Associates for having the lowest and best bid meeting minimum specifications. The cost of the project is \$714,202.07.

Commissioner Elkin stated this is a road project that was originally designed and planned for in the Proposition 2 ballot issue in 1998. This is part of the long range improvement plans to improve this road and eventually connect two state highways. This is Phase I, Phase II will be Caldwell Road connected to Highway 124.

Commissioner Elkin moved to award bid 04-31JAN02 for Academy Road to Steve and Associates.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 70-2002**

**Subject: Public Works**

**A. Second Reading and Approval of Adopt-A-Roadway (Gans Road)**

Commissioner Miller stated this is the newly finished portion of Gans Road.

Commissioner Miller moved to approve the request by Richard Shanker on behalf of The Horses of Gans to adopt one and one-half mile of Gans Road from Rock Quarry east to Gans Creek Road for litter control.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 71-2002**

**B. First Reading of Roadway Regulations Chapter 2**

David Mink, Public Works Director, and John Watkins, Project Development Manager, were present on behalf of this item.

Commissioner Miller stated the Planning and Building Inspection and Public Works Departments have been working to merge the two standards to make sure they are compatible. In the process of doing this, Senate Bill 369 passed in 2001 and the County is incorporating that legislation into the Roadway Regulations.

Senate Bill 369 is a right-of-way management bill as it relates to utilities.

David Mink stated approximately one year ago, the Public Works Department began working on revising the Chapter 2 of the Road and Bridge Right-of-Way Regulations. There was an attempt to develop a complete manual that did not refer to the City of Columbia regulations and this is a manual that does not refer to any other policies and regulations.

Mr. Mink highlighted the following changes:

- Addition of definition for temporary and permanent easement Neighborhood Improvement District, pave in place for roadway classifications. The department has revamped from six classifications inside the urban service area and six classifications outside the urban service area to four classifications, whether inside the urban service area or not.

- Roadway Acceptance: Now allow for a cash deposit to be required for the maintenance of storm drainage and guaranty grass growth within the Right-of-Way
- Roadway Maintenance: Addition of a procedure to build school bus turn-arounds.
- General Permit Conditions: Allow for recoument of right-of-way management costs.

- Fees: Now may impose right-of-way management fees in an agreeence for processes included.

- Design Specifications Appendix A: End Slopes have been revised from 2:1 to 3:1, minimum ditch size has been revised from 15 inches to 24 inches, minimum pipe size has been revised from 12 inches to 15 inches

- Addition of sections dealing with mailbox structures within the right-of-way
- Addition of sections dealing with off-site construction activities (debris and mud on the road due to construction)
- Clarify culvert pipe specifications
- Revise Design Criteria for local roads
- Revise Right-of-Way requirements for roadways and cul-de-sacs
- Addition of erosion, sediment and stormwater control plans
- Appendix A1 – Construction and Materials Specifications. These were adopted from the City regulations and added, deleted, and revised to meet the County’s conditions.
- Appendix B1 – Standard Drawings. These were adopted from the City regulations and added, deleted, and revised to meet the County’s conditions.
- Appendix C – Roadway Cuts. These were revised to be current and refers to agreements with the Water Districts.
- Appendix D – Roadway Plan Submittal Checklist. This was added to ensure compliance of plan submittal requirements.
- Appendix E – Checklist for Site Plan Map Preparation. This was added to ensure compliance of plan submittal requirements.
- Appendix F – Design Criteria for Stormwater Drainage Facilities. These were adapted from the City regulations and added, deleted, and revised to meet the County’s conditions.

Commissioner Miller stated there were two public hearings with local engineers and developers. Mr. Mink stated there were actually three hearings, the first was to inform the engineers and developers to let them know what was going on. The second was held for comments on the first draft, and the final hearing was held with the revisions from the comments from the second meeting.

Commissioner Miller stated the hearing she attended people were happy this process was being done because there were too many conflicts and they were unsure of what regulations to follow.

Commissioner Stamper opened a public hearing on this issue.

Dan Simon, 601 E. Broadway, legal representative for the Water Districts, stated their problem with this issue is not the primary road regulations, their problem is with the fees that were not a matter of any hearings. He does not know to what extent the Water District has problems with the fees but they did not find out about it until the beginning of the week and have not had an opportunity to review this.

Mr. Simon stated there has been on-going litigation between the County and the Water

Districts, which was settled recently through a cooperative agreement and judgement of the court, and they do not want to go through a long litigation again. When the agreement was entered into, one of the situations was the Districts had a pre-existing easement that would then be overlapped by County road right-of-ways. At the time of discussion of the impact of the road right-of-way acquisition on the easements, the County's road regulations specifically provided that there would be no fees charged for construction permits. It is now proposed that this will be changed. When this issue was being discussed, the Districts felt like they made a number of compromises that under many circumstances would allow them to be essentially forced into the County's right-of-way. Under appropriate circumstances where the County would deem it appropriate that the districts relocate waterlines that are located in private easements, that those be retained within or relocated within the County's road right-of-way. The districts would prefer to be outside of the right-of-way. In an effort to compromise this litigation, the water districts agreed that under a number of circumstances the districts could be required to leave water lines within the County road right-of-way. When the agreement was made, there were several aspects of the regulations that the water districts were considering, one of which was that the districts were not going to be charged with fees when they had to construct or reconstruct within the road right-of-way. It is now being proposed that the County would adopt fees that would affect the district substantially.

Mr. Simon has not been able to look over this because he just received the fee proposal. He believes the fees will have an impact on both the water districts and the home owners who utilize the districts' services. The customary fee for the water district to push a line beneath a County road to serve a residence on the other side of the road is now \$685.00. On top of this fee, as the districts read the proposed fees, would be an additional \$170.00 permit fee. If the proposed County fees are compared to City of Columbia fees, under certain circumstances, the City would charge an excavation permit fee of \$10 whereas the County's fee would be \$220. He is requesting the Commission to consider extending the consideration of the proposed fees and the manner in which these fees will impact the districts to allow for representatives from both sides, the County and the water districts, to discuss the proposed fee schedule.

Mr. Simon stated if the County is going to require the water districts to relocate within the County right-of-way, whereas they would prefer to relocate within their own easements, if the County is now going to impose substantial fees, the water districts would view that as infringing on their easement rights. Another issue, which Mr. Simon believes he and John Patton, County Counsel, could settle, is when the water district does and does not have to get permits. There were changes and amendments that the County agreed to in the Roadway Regulations, which were completed, but there were two changes the County agreed to make which were not completed. One of the changes is in Section 2.9.1, where there are two references to thirty-day requirements for obtaining permits. In Appendix C of the cooperative agreement, it was agreed that both of these would be reduced to a period of two days.

Mr. Simon requested the Commission to delay the approval of this so they would have time to review the proposed fee schedule and other issues he has brought to the attention of the Commission.

Commissioner Stamper stated in the final draft book that he has on page 48, the draft book goes from 212.54 to 213 to 220, the fees wording is not included in this document. John Watkins stated the fee schedule is not included in this book. The only authority the book gives the department is the right to charge any fees.

Mr. Patton stated in regard to the time frame that was in the settlement agreement and he believes the County should adopt the two-day time period. He tried to write around it because the department wants more lead time on certain types of projects and still honor the agreement.

Commissioner Stamper apologized to the water districts for any problems with the short notification. He believes the way the department has gone about with this issue has been logical in the sense that new authority has been given to the County and the department through the Senate Bill that Commissioner Miller mentioned earlier. A lot of pressure has been placed upon the department to come up with these regulations because of the conflicting regulations between the Planning and Building Inspection and Public Works Regulations. He believes the intention of the Public Works department was recognizing that the fee schedule would have to be negotiated with a variety of groups and looked at in greater detail. What has been included in this document is the authorization for the County to have a fee schedule while recognizing that the fee schedule would have to be negotiated. The Commission never intended to adopt a fee schedule with the adoption of the Roadway Regulations. A draft schedule has been presented by the department today and believes the intention was that a draft document would be circulated for comments from Commission and the water districts. The document presented today is a draft, a final draft is what it is being called, and there are no schedules in this document that would be adopted. It is the Commissions intent to have a meeting with the water districts and all other utilities about their concerns over fee schedules.

Commissioner Stamper noted this document would be scheduled for a second reading and adopted when the department is ready with any modifications and the fee schedule will not be adopted when this draft is adopted.

Charlie Scheidt, 625 Cherry Street, a Verizon Telephone Representative, stated the fee area is a concern for Verizon. He noted that Verizon is concerned of the financial impact of these proposed regulations and upon a quick review, the impact could cost up to \$100,000 per year. The impact of these fees could impact the company and their ability to invest in DSL and negatively impact the citizens and the economic development of Boone County. He reminded the Commission that these are not new costs incurred by Boone County. Verizon is a large tax payer in the County. Verizon would ask the County for an offset of property taxes currently paid by a utility for new permit fees up to the total

property taxes paid. This would still allow for the County to collect from new utilities digging in the right-of-way who currently do not pay the County any taxes.

Verizon has always worked with the County and now need the Commission to consider some operational modifications that would facilitate their ability to serve the customers of Boone County effectively while providing the County the information it needs. Verizon suggests that service drops to citizens and businesses be processed by a blanket permit on an annual basis. Under this permit, Verizon would notify a single contact point within the County for where it would be placing the service drop. Not only would this allow Verizon to meet PSC standards and administrative burdens and delays of the permit process, the County will still know where Verizon will be working. This will allow for Verizon to respond quicker to outages of service and new service for customers. This will also allow Verizon to contain the cost of the permit process, which Verizon is allowed to pass on to the customers as permitted by Section 671.8403(2) of the Senate Bill.

Verizon would also suggest a blanket permit for individual pole replacement. These are normally replaced one at a time as opposed to replacement of five at time as allowed in the fees. This would allow the County to know where Verizon is replacing poles, without the administrative cost of both parties associated with the permit process. In many instances, Verizon will joint place with other utilities in order to minimize the disruption in the right-of-way. Verizon would like to confirm that under these circumstance only one permit and one permit fee would be required, which ever part is the lead on the joint build.

As always, Verizon wants to work with the County for the citizens of Boone County. They believe these process modifications would greatly assist Verizon in serving the community.

Commissioner Stamper noted that only 5% of the County's overall revenue comes from property tax, so of the \$1 million Verizon pays, the bulk of it goes to the schools, fire district, library, and other entities.

Commissioner Miller stated the legislation was just passed in 2001 and as the County is doing the regulations, now is the time to put the authority in there. Each time there is a cut in the right-of-way, an inspector that must go out and look at it. What the County has found is there are a lot of utilities companies that subcontract the work out and there are problems that go along with this. As the regulations were being developed and because the law just gave the authority for the County to manage the right-of-ways. The County does not charge for the use of the right-of-ways but the exact costs that are incurred because of individual utilities seems to be a fair way to manage the right-of-way because those who are not causing problems are not paying the costs. She thinks there could be a way to work out blanket permits but that has to be discussed between staff and Mr. Patton. She requested the regulations be sent to all the utilities and wanted to know how many telephone utilities received the draft and if anyone checked with the Public Service Commission.

Mr. Watkins stated nothing was received back from the Public Service Commission and all utilities that have received permits from Public Works in the past received a copy of the draft.

Commissioner Miller noted during Commissioner Training last week there was training on Senate Bill 369 and Gene Maggard from Southwestern Bell was the trainer. Mr. Maggard was impressed with the draft and dealing with the permitting structure.

Mr. Scheidt asked if there is a possibility for the blanket permits. Commissioner Miller stated that would be between Mr. Patton and the Public Works staff and they would have to look at the Verizon request.

Mr. Scheidt stated buried service wire placement is going to be the major impact on this. He believes that the Senate Bill would allow for Verizon to pass the construction cost on to the customer.

Commissioner Miller stated this is free today but the cost is passed along to the tax payer. She believes this is a fee for service and has no problems with this.

Commissioner Stamper assured Mr. Scheidt that the department would look at areas that have been any cause of concern for any utility.

Commissioner Elkin agreed with Commissioner Stamper and the department would be working with all utility companies to make sure none of the requests or suggestions have been overlooked.

Jim Quisenberry, Charter Communications Representative, stated he also just received the draft of this and has not prepared any questions. He is the construction manager for Charter Communications. He is unsure of what the franchise fees for Charter Communications cover in addition to property taxes. Charter Communications pays this franchise fee for use of the right-of-way based on gross income. To Mr. Quisenberry's understanding, that fee covered the use of the right-of-way.

Commissioner Miller stated that fee did not cover the inspections and that is the difference.

Mr. Quisenberry asked if there would be another time set up for additional comments from the utilities, since there seems to be a lot of confusion over this issue. Commissioner Stamper stated the discussion of the fees would be a completely different discussion aside from the draft of the Roadway Regulations. The draft of the Roadway Regulations authorizes the County to have fees and the specific schedule and fee proposal has not been brought to the table. There will be a series of discussions the department will host with the utilities regarding the fee schedule. There will be one more hearing regarding the draft

of the Roadway Regulations.

Commissioner Miller stated a draft fee schedule has been developed and all the utilities have received a copy of that.

Ryan Euliss, Boone Electric Cooperative representative, stated he would like to see the fee schedule set up on a valid basis. He would like to have a discussion about the fee schedule with the department and what the fees will be for. He is concerned that the fee schedule and work that is done by utilities that does not disturb the right-of-way in any manner. He has no problem with paying for work that has to be done but would like to see that they have to opportunity to see that the proposed fee schedule will have valid charges.

Mr. Euliss stated Boone Electric Cooperative has had a good relationship with the County on working on these type of issues and right-of-way issues. He is concerned that obtainment of the right-of-way for the County and the utilities becomes more difficult and wonders if everyone is paying a fee for everything they do and the burden it could put on the County right-of-way and the utility because it raises the utility cost. Raising the cost for the utility through a fee schedule in significant manner, the utility may have to obtain a private right-of-way.

Commissioner Miller stated she would not want to see the utilities have to obtain a private right-of-way because it does a disservice to the public.

Commissioner Stamper closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Stamper stated the utility representatives that are present today are not the utilities the County has had problems with in the past. He told a story about the time when he was interim Public Works Director and the problems with a sub-contractor for a cable company placing lines too close to the right-of-way. He believes that concerns with a fee schedule can be worked out between the department and the utilities.

Commissioner Stamper stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule a second reading and public hearing for February 21, 2002 for this draft with any revisions from the department of the Roadway Regulations Chapter 2.

### **C. First Reading of Budget Revision – Departments 2040 and 2045**

Greg Edington, Maintenance Operations Manager, was present on behalf of this item.

Greg Edington stated this budget revision has been prepared because the department received a bill from the City of Columbia in the amount of \$12,500 for a joint venture overlay on Scott Boulevard from south of Gillespie Bridge Road south past the bridge to Vawter School Road. In the process of working on this budget revision, there were a couple of journal entries that were not corrected. In the last budget revision, too much was

taken out of the Outside Services fund so funding needs to be put back in that fund.

Commissioner Miller asked if this was for the 2001 budget. Mr. Edington stated that is correct.

Commissioner Stamper stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

**Subject: Auditor's Office – First Reading of Renewal of Auditing Engagement with KPMG for FY2001 Audit**

June Pitchford, Boone County Auditor, was present on behalf of this item.

June Pitchford stated the fiscal year 2000 audit was the first year with this firm. The date on the engagement letter is late fall 2001. At the time the letter was received from KPMG, the County could not act on it until the 2002 budget was adopted. The engagement letter is standard language for a financial audit; this is not a performance or compliance audit. The scope of the audit includes a single audit in compliance with Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, for federal awards. The cost of the audit is \$62,000, which is identified on page 5 of the letter. This is about 0.2% of total operating budget of all funds combined.

Commissioner Miller asked if there was any specialized look at any department in this agreement as there has been in the past. Mrs. Pitchford stated the audit approach is determined by the external auditors every year. She does not have the ability to prescribe their approach. They are independent auditors and they develop their approach. The auditors do solicit the County's input in identifying areas of particular interest but she does not have any means by which to prescribe to them how to conduct the audit.

Mrs. Pitchford stated any independent accountant would be interested in hearing from the governing body or the audit committee about areas of particular interest for an audit. Any of the Commissioners or other elected officials can request to have discussions with the external auditors in the development of the audit. Because many offices are fee-collecting offices, it is impractical to document and test the internal controls in each office each year.

Commissioner Stamper stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this for a second reading at the next available meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

**Subject: Planning and Building Inspections – Second Reading to Accept Easements (Sewer District)**

Commissioner Elkin stated these easements are for the Lake Caroline neighborhood and

have been discussed in an earlier meeting as a first reading.

Commissioner Elkin moved to accept the easements from R. Gordon and Bonnie G. Burnam for general utilities as listed on easements.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

John Patton stated these are not actually for sewer purposes, it is for general utility easements.

There was no further discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 72-2002**

**Subject: Second Reading and Approval of Contracts**

Commissioner Stamper moved to approve the following contracts between the County of Boone and the listed agency:

AGENCY	CONTRACT AMOUNT
City of Columbia – E911 Telephone	\$25,036
City of Columbia – Animal Control	\$119,905
Boone County Historical Society	\$21,000
Centralia Chamber of Commerce	\$4,000
Central Missouri Humane Society	\$9,680
Boone County Soil and Water Conservation District	\$15,000
Show-Me State Games	\$20,000

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 73-2002**

**Subject: First Reading of Contract for Columbia Special Business District**

Commissioner Stamper stated this is a contract with the Columbia Special Business District for Economic Support in the amount of \$7,000.00.

Commissioner Stamper stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

**Subject: Discussion**

**A. Architectural Services with City of Columbia for New Health Facility**

Commissioner Stamper stated in the past few days the Commission has been working on an architectural agreement for the new health facility. He met with Ray Beck, City of Columbia Manager, to discuss a variety of issues related to the health facility. Because of the wording of the agreement, the County will need to know where the City stands on the Condo Agreements. Mr. Beck informed Commissioner Stamper that a copy of those agreements will be forthcoming. Commissioner Stamper requested this item be tabled until such time as to when there is a clarification on the Condo Agreements.

There was no objection from the Commissioners about tabling this issue.

**B. Missouri Health Facilities Review Committee Legal Certificate**

Commissioner Stamper stated the County has been presented with legal certificate number 2259 for the Boone Retirement Center from the Health Facilities Review Committee. Commissioner Stamper submitted this to the Public Record.

**C. Letter from Chancellor of the University of Missouri-Columbia**

Commissioner Stamper stated the Commission received a letter from Richard L. Wallace, Chancellor of the University of Missouri-Columbia, recommending Tim Rooney be re-appointed to the Board of Directors for the Boone County Senior Services Corporation. Commissioner Stamper requested Commissioner Elkin to draft a letter from the Commissioners welcoming Mr. Rooney to the Board. It was noted from Commissioner Elkin that Mr. Rooney currently serves on the Board.

**D. Discussion of Joint Communications Policy Language**

Commissioner Stamper stated the Commission received a letter from Jim McNabb, Director of Public Safety Joint Communications, regarding Joint Communication Policy Language. Commissioner Stamper submitted this memorandum to the Public Record.

**Commissioner Reports**

*Commissioner Stamper*

*Public Service Commission Case No. WO-2002-208*

Commissioner Stamper stated the County has been notified about the final decision of Case No. WO-2002-208 in the matter of a joint application between the City of Centralia, Public Water Supply District No. 10, of Boone County, for a territorial agreement.

*Commissioner Miller*

*Mental Health Trustee Meeting*

Commissioner Miller stated the Mental Health Board of Trustees had their meeting and had a presentation from Behavioral Health Concepts on how the Mental Health issues are going at the jail. The numbers are increasing of the number of inmates being served. Close to 40% of the inmate population is being treated for some form of a mental health issue. She noted that the staff is very well trained in areas that may need outside assistance. They are very concerned about the State budget and the Governor's proposal for State Mental Health. A meeting will be held on March 15, 2002 for all that are effected by the State funding.

*Group Homes*

Commissioner Miller noted the State funding for Mental Health could also have an effect on the Group Homes and the discussion at the Mental Health Board of Trustees ties in with the Group Homes meeting. Group Homes are gearing up to try to make contacts to stabilize their budget. Group Homes hired John Patton, County Counsel, at a minimal cost for the revenue to come back to the County.

*Boone County Fire District*

Commissioner Miller stated she met with the Boone County Fire District today. Steve Paulsell discussed his time in Washington D.C. and his thoughts on the Task Force funding. Many staff has been lost to military active duty. February 28 is the Perseverance Fund First Gala Fundraiser for all the Fire Districts. Commissioner Miller asked Commissioner Stamper if he was working on the County asset list.

Commissioner Stamper stated he has been working on that list and the assets to be on that list.

There was discussion about funding for the assets, how the funding would be distributed through State Highway Patrol districts, and planning for the report.

*Commissioner Elkin*

*Mid-Missouri Solid Waste Management District*

Commissioner Elkin stated he attended the Mid-Missouri Solid Waste Management District yesterday. There was discussion of district grants and tentatively there are four communities in Boone County that will be receiving grants for solid waste practices. There will be another tire collection in Boone County; no date has been set yet. They will

be collecting tires on rims again.

*Columbia Chamber of Commerce Governmental Affairs*

Commissioner Elkin stated at this meeting there was discussion about the deposit ordinance and annexation issue. They would like the County's perspective on the annexation issue. They are also working on a survey on issues such as I-70 strategy, Columbia Regional Airport, state and local fuel tax, the number of exits on I-70, and reaction to artists' rendition of downtown Columbia.

*Harrisburg School Board*

Commissioner Elkin stated he attended the Harrisburg School Board meeting and had a discussion about school buses on County Roads. Harrisburg has constructed a school on the south side of town and would like information about road grants. They would like the information about this because they would like to construct sidewalks for the school.

Commissioner Stamper noted the Harrisburg mayor contacted him about this information and Commissioner Stamper has forwarded it to the department.

Commissioner Miller stated the County has not given money for sidewalks in the past.

There was no public comment.

The meeting was adjourned at 2:52 p.m.

Attest:

---

Wendy S. Noren  
Clerk of the County Commission

---

Don Stamper  
Presiding Commissioner

---

Karen M. Miller  
District I Commissioner

---

Skip Elkin  
District II Commissioner