

TERM OF COMMISSION: July Session of the May Adjourned Term

PLACE OF MEETING: Boone County Fairgrounds

PRESENT WERE: Presiding Commissioner Don Stamper
District I Commissioner Karen M. Miller
District II Commissioner Linda Vogt
Deputy County Clerk Melanie Stapleton

The regular meeting of the County Commission was called to order by Commissioner Stamper at 1:40pm.

Subject: Civil War Memorial on the Courthouse Square

Bill Berry (503 W Broadway) of the Boone County Historical Society and the Civil War Memorial Committee of the Civil War Memorial was accompanied by Helen Wulff, Norma Nyberg, and Greg Olson-Curator of the Boone County Historical Society. He was present to request that the County Commission approve the placement of a monument dedicated to the citizens of Boone County who died in the Civil War (1861-1865) on the County Courthouse Square. He stated that this monument would be similar to World War II monument that is currently in place. He stated that the Civil War monument would sit on the other side of the World War II monument and would be about 97 inches in length.

Commissioner Miller asked if Mr. Berry had a schematic design (with him) that the Commission could review.

Bill Berry stated that this document would be available in the near future. He stated that the Civil War Memorial Committee would return to the County Commission for final approval of the monument.

Commissioner Stamper stated that former-Commissioner Sanders had previously appeared before the County Commission as did other representatives of the Boone County Historical Society. He stated that additional lighting was built into the Courthouse Square to handle the placement of another monument.

Bill Berry stated that they sought approval from the County Commission today so that the committee might proceed with fundraising with the assurance that the space would be available.

Commissioner Vogt asked when the monument would be placed.

Bill Berry stated that it would be placed on the Courthouse Square by the middle of next year or at least sometime during the year 2000 in order to commemorate the 135th anniversary of the Civil War.

Commissioner Vogt stated that this was good opportunity to approve of the concept and still leave time for citizens to give comments and have discussions about placement.

Commissioner Stamper stated that this item had been discussed in 1995.

Commissioner Vogt stated that it was her opinion that citizens had a lot of interest in the Courthouse Grounds and that it would be a good idea to receive comments concerning the placement of this monument.

Commissioner Miller stated that Mr. Elmore had appeared before the Commission previously and that the placement of the monument seemed to part of the overall plan for the Courthouse Square. She stated however that she would like to view the schematic design before final approval of the project.

The Commission indicated interest in the project and expressed their desire to see the schematic design for the monument before placement. They also invited public comment on the placement of the monument.

Subject: Variance Request on NID Policy

John Watkins, NID Coordinator requested a variance from the NID policy on behalf of the Hollrah Sanitary Sewer NID. He stated that the request was as follows:

That the County Commission adopt the resolution consenting to the following Variance Requests from the existing Neighborhood Improvement District Administrative Policy:

1. Allow for a 20-year payback vs. the standard 10-year payback
2. Allow for the petition to include wording that a minimum dollar amount of outside funding per lot will be paid towards the final improvement costs of the project.
3. Assure the petitioners that the Notice to Proceed will not be given unless the minimum dollar amount of outside funding per lot is acquired.

Commissioner Miller stated in work session, they discussed the fact that there may not be an option and there could be citation which would mean that this work would have to go forward.

John Watkins stated that they (NID) would have to present another petition.

Commissioner Miller stated that she just wanted it stated on the public record that there might not be any other choice except to go forward.

Commissioner Miller moved to approve the Variance Request as stated on the July 22, 1999 memorandum from the NID Coordinator for the Hollrah Sanitary Sewer NID and authorize the Presiding Commissioner to sign it.

Commissioner Vogt seconded the motion.

Discussion: Commissioner Vogt stated that it was her understanding that this was a variance to the policy.

John Watkins stated that approval of this request would grant a variance from the existing policy and allow the NID to write a petition based on this variance for the Hollrah Sanitary Sewer NID.

Commissioner Vogt asked if each request from a NID that wanted a variance of this kind would come before the Commission.

John Watkins stated that it would.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 255-99**

Subject: Change Order No. 9 of the Pin Oak Sanitary Sewer NID

Tom Ratermann stated that this change order was to install two, 6-ft long, 16-inch diameter, steel pipes (sleeves) underneath St Charles Rd. He stated that the total cost for this change order was about \$12,000.

Commissioner Miller asked if this change order was going to be paid for by the Regional Sewer District.

Tom Ratermann stated that it would be.

Commissioner Vogt asked if this item had to go through Commission because the NID was identified by the County.

Tom Ratermann stated that the County is the owner on the construction contract for all of the work in that area, therefore it made sense to use the contractor that is onsite.

Commissioner Stamper moved to authorize a Change Order (#9 of the Pin Oak Sewer District) in an amount not to exceed \$12,320 for the purposes of placing two sleeves under the St Charles Rd Project in order to accommodate future applications for sewer pump placement and it should be understood by this motion that the Sewer District will pay the additional installation costs, and authorize the Presiding Commissioner to sign the change order.

Commissioner Vogt seconded the motion.

There was no discussion.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 256-99**

Subject: GIS License Agreement

Tom Ratermann stated that this was the license agreement between the Sewer District and the County for access to the GIS data files. He stated that the Sewer District was in the process of improving its maps of the sewers and would like to use Boone County’s parcel files. He stated that County Counsel recommended that this agreement be established in order to guard against that data being available to private vendors.

The Commission requested that this item be tabled in order to allow time to visit with the other entities involved in the GIS mapping project.

Subject: Report on Phase II of the Jail Project

Commissioner Miller introduced Steve Davis, Schenkel Schultz. She stated that he was present to share some thought on Phase II of the Jail Expansion Project. She stated that there had been a subcommittee working on this for sometime and the Sheriff’s Department participated with Schenkel Schultz to provide information about what would be needed. She stated that in order to go further, they needed to obtain consensus from the Commission that they were on the right track.

Steve Davis stated that Schenkel Schultz was located in Lee Summit, MO. He stated CSG Consultants aided Schenkel Schultz developing the housing trends for the Jail. He stated that the projections were extended beyond the year 2001 in order accommodate future needs and delay future expansion projects as long as possible.

Steve Davis stated that the expansion design would include a peak factor and a classification factor. He stated that when the population reached 80% of capacity it would be considered full because the Sheriff’s Department would lose the ability to classify (inmates) according to the degree of their crime. He presented a chart that showed the projections. The trends for housing population were as follows:

YEAR	POPULATION
1999	217
10 Years	279
15 Years	313
20 Years	347

Steve Davis stated that the 20-year number was hard to project due to the fact that there could be changes in criminal penalties, law enforcement policy, or sentencing practices could effect this number. He stated that the Phase I projections did not take into account any additional space or

shortages that were currently being experienced at the Jail in terms of program and support space. He stated that these two kinds of space should be taken into consideration in the next projections for expansion. He stated that they would also want to take into consideration the expansion of the intake working area, property storage, medical facilities, kitchen facilities, and laundry area.

Steve Davis stated that there had also been discussion about having a judicial courtroom facility at the Jail. He stated that this option was discussed with the Prosecuting Attorney's office and Court offices. He stated that they also discussed the possibility of video courtroom/arraignment facilities.

Steve Davis presented the two options for future expansion. Option 1 included developing another Pod similar in size to the existing Pods. He stated that the Pod would have six beds of medical isolation, six beds for administrative, segregation isolation, and 48 beds in general population along with a new indoor/outdoor recreation area. He stated that it would also include expanding Pod A, which includes the visitation area.

Steve Davis administrative cells would be relocated to a four to five manned station and the cells would be available for additional booking. He stated that there would be an expanded kitchen and a medical suite area for holding exams (and space for a staff nurse or doctor). Also indicated in Option 1 was space for video interview/hearing rooms with space for a Prosecutor or Public Defender and Probation or Parole Officer. He also stated that there would be space for administrative support staff for the Jail. He stated that there would be program space that would include room for classrooms. He stated that the laundry facilities would be centrally located. He also stated that there would be full access to each of the Pods. Steve Davis stated that Option 1 would handle projections until about 2008.

Steve Davis stated that another option would include a larger, incomplete (to allow for growth) superPod. He stated that it would include all the same capabilities in the support area, however within the Pod, there would be medical housing-segregation units, general housing units with potential to house 24-48 additional beds.

Steve Davis stated that with the help of the Sheriff's Department, they would be able to do a lot of reworking within Pod A in order to be able to achieve program spaces within some of the present construction (at minimal cost). He stated that it might be more feasible to have a small, separate Sheriff's building.

Steve Davis stated that with the remodeling that is being done, there is also possibility for some additional HVAC work including an energy-recovery rule in Pod D which will allow for a more efficient energy system. He stated that they would also be upgrading the firearm systems and a hot water circulation roof. He stated that there would also be some electrical upgrades (UPS systems in Pods C and D).

Commissioner Stamper asked the Sheriff and the Auditor to give comments on the presentation.

Sheriff Boehm thanked all those who have been involved in the renovation process for their efforts. He stated that the possible video arraignment system would decrease personnel costs for the Sheriff's Department by bringing two-three divisions together in the same location with the help of modern technology.

Sheriff Boehm also thanked the Commission for having the foresight to build (the Jail) and renovate on County property so as not to expend additional funds for the purchase of property on another site.

June Pitchford stated that a lot of time had been spent assessing the operational impact of design. She stated that once all of this information is processed and they received approval from the Commission, the next step would be to develop an operational budget.

Commissioner Miller stated that one decision that the Commission would need to give direction on is whether to build a separate building for the Sheriff's Department office space or to add this area into renovation of the Jail. She noted that it would be much cheaper to build a separate

facility for the Sheriff's Department than to try to add that kind of area onto the corrections space.

Commissioner Miller also stated that the Commission needed to decide on whether to go with the superPod option (that had the unfinished space for future growth) or to build only what will be needed in the next ten years.

Commissioner Miller stated that regardless of which option they decided to select, they intended to make the new pod a two-story building with a garage underneath in order to accommodate the different kinds of equipment that the Sheriff's Department would need in order to maintain the outside facilities.

Steve Davis stated that another thing to look at when considering the superPod option is the staffing efficiency. He stated that there would not be as much of an increase in staffing because there would be one control center for the entire Pod.

Commissioner Stamper asked what the approximate square footages were for the superPod and the other option.

Steve Davis stated that the base Pod was 13800 sq ft with multiple levels. He stated that he did not have the figure written down for the superPod. He stated that he could get that information to the Commission

Commissioner Stamper asked if the sizes were about the same as the current Pods.

Steve Davis stated that the sizes were roughly equal.

Commissioner Stamper stated that the next step would be for the County Commission to express a preference (for one of the options) to the committee and then allow Schenkel Schultz to move forward with more detailed planning and come back with the financial obligations.

Commissioner Stamper stated that the Commission would take a few days to look at this in a work session format and then relay a decision to Schenkel Schultz. He thanked Commissioner Miller, Schenkel Schultz, and the committee for all of their work.

Subject: Agreement with Horner & Shifrin for engineering services

Frank Abart stated that this was a standard agreement to authorize a vendor to provide services to the County. He stated that no money was involved.

Commissioner Vogt moved to approve an Agreement between Boone County and Horner & Shifrin, Inc. for engineering services with terms as outlined and authorize the Presiding Commissioner to sign the agreement.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 257-99**

Subject: Budget Revision for software/hardware

Frank Abart stated that this money was budgeted last year in order to hire someone to develop an electronic mapping of the centerlines to connect to the existing electronic mapping of the MO Department of Transportation. He stated that in reviewing everything that was necessary for this system during the last ten months, they noticed that the purchase price had dropped. He stated

that they concluded that it would be cheaper for the Public Works Department to purchase the equipment and do the work themselves.

Frank Abart stated that this budget revision would move money from Outside Services in order to make the purchase possible.

Commissioner Miller moved to authorize a Budget revision as follows:

AMOUNT	ACCOUNT (from)	ACCOUNT (to)
\$22,500	2040-71100 Outside Services	
\$12,500		2040-91302 Computer Software
\$10,000		2040-91300 Machinery & Equipment

Said revision is to fund software and hardware for Global Positioning System (GPS).

Commissioner Vogt seconded the motion.

There was no discussion.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 258-99**

Subject: Authorization for the Public Works Director to approve Professional Service agreement for traffic analysis

Frank Abart stated that this would be necessary when there were planning and zoning requirements for traffic analysis. He stated that this would authorize the Public Works Department to acquire those services without having to go through standard procedure.

Commissioner Miller stated that since the developer is required in the new regulations to do the traffic analysis, if this setup for traffic analysis would be for the County's benefit.

Stan Shawver stated that the County may have a different reason than the developer for needing the traffic analysis.

Commissioner Stamper stated that this procedure was different from the standard in that the Public Works Director would be authorizing the agreement.

Commissioner Miller moved to grant approval to the Director of Boone County Public Works to authorize professional services contracts in excess of \$3000.00 to perform traffic analysis specifically related to the responses of the Boone County Planning and Zoning requirement.

Commissioner Vogt seconded the motion.

There was no discussion.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 259-99**

Subject: Acceptance of Goodson Rd

Commissioner Vogt read a list of concerns that Frank Abart sent to the County Commission regarding the Acceptance of Goodson Rd. The list read as follows:

RE: Booneslick Trail Proposal

I have reviewed the above referenced proposal for the County to accept and preserve a section of ground for the benefit of Boone County citizens. I submit the following comments:

1. Patton should review the contract and provide comments before any acceptance is officially made.
2. Paragraph Number 6 indicates cost to County for survey of the "trail" which needs to be started in thirty days. Also requires a professional appraisal-does not indicate who should pay for this but I would assume that it will be the responsibility of the County.
3. All cost associated with title research, title insurance, etc. to meet the certification requirements of Boone Central Title Company for Goodson Road. This may require legal action (condemnation) to achieve.
4. The description in paragraph number 5 makes no sense. It would appear that the donors do not own any property in the Northwest Quarter of Section 24 for which they are granting access.

Frank Abart further stated in the memorandum that he supported the project in an effort to preserve historical aspects of Boone County and would estimate the costs as noted above to fall between \$1,000 and \$3,000 to satisfy the contract requirements.

Commissioner Vogt stated that she also had concerns about some of the issues regarding this and would like to touch base with some of the other people involved in this item. Commissioner Vogt stated that she would like to try to reschedule this item on next Tuesday's Commission agenda.

Commissioner Stamper stated that none of the details (that needed clarification) bothered him. He stated that he would encourage the Commission to proceed and work out the details.

Subject: Windy Point

Commissioner Stamper stated that there was a request to reschedule the Windy Point discussion since some of the people involved in the planning and zoning issue would be unable to attend the August meeting.

Stan Shawver asked that the item, if rescheduled, be give as early a date as possible.

Commissioner Stamper asked Stan Shawver to call Mr. Van Matre (agent for Windy Point) to express the Commission's desire to have the discussion fall on the regular Planning and Zoning County Commission date in September.

Subject: Fairgrounds Survey Invoice

This item was tabled until next Tuesday's County Commission meeting.

Subject: First reading of Budget amendment for Revenue Sharing and rock

Commissioner Stamper read into the public record a budget amendment reflecting an increase of \$594,000 (\$194,000-Revenue Sharing and \$400,000-Vendor hauled rock) into departments 2049 and 2040. He requested that the budget amendment be brought back for approval following the ten-day waiting period.

Subject: Budget revision to cover cost of radio

This item was referred back to the Facilities Maintenance Department for further information.

Subject: Memo from Gerald Worley concerning Onsite Wastewater Ordinance Board of Review

Commissioner Stamper reported that both variances in the Board of Review meeting were approved.

Subject: TCI Cable Notice

Commissioner Stamper stated that the TCI Cable Company had taken the name of its parent company "A T & T."

Commissioner Reports

Commissioner Stamper moved to expend \$5,000 to retain the services of Carol Winslow, Mechanical Engineer Consultant.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion

There was no discussion.

The motion passed 3-0. Order 259A-99

There was no public comment.

The meeting was adjourned at 2:20pm.

Attest:

Don Stamper
Presiding Commissioner

Wendy S. Noren
Clerk of the County Commission

Karen M. Miller
District I Commissioner

Linda Vogt
District II Commissioner