

TERM OF COMMISSION: December Session of the November Adjourned Term

PLACE OF MEETING: Roger B. Wilson Boone County Government Center
Commission Chambers

PRESENT WERE: Presiding Commissioner Don Stamper
District I Commissioner Karen M. Miller
District II Commissioner Skip Elkin
County Counsel John Patton
Deputy County Clerk Shawna Victor

The meeting was called to order at 9:35 a.m. by Commissioner Miller.

Subject: Purchasing Department

Marlene Ridgeway, Purchasing Department Buyer, was present on behalf of these items.

A. Bid Opening for Bid 82-10DEC02 (Tandem Axle Dump Trucks)

Marlene Ridgeway stated this bid is proposed for the 2003 budget. The Department and the vendors are aware this is pending the approval of the 2003 budget.

Commissioner Miller opened a bid from Al Scheppers Motor Company of Jefferson City, MO.

- Total Extended Price for 2003 Tandem Axle Dump Truck: \$361,761.00
- Total for Trade-In Vehicle A: \$16,000.00
- Total for Trade-In Vehicle B: \$16,000.00
- Total for Trade-In Vehicle C: \$16,000.00
- Trade-In Grand Total: \$48,000.00
- Grand Total: \$313,761.00
- Cooperative: YES
- Delivery: 90-120 Days

Commissioner Elkin opened a bid from Columbia Freightliner of Columbia, MO.

- Total Extended Price for 2003 Tandem Axle Dump Truck: \$359,559.00
- Total for Trade-In Vehicle A: \$16,000.00
- Total for Trade-In Vehicle B: \$16,000.00
- Total for Trade-In Vehicle C: \$16,000.00
- Trade-In Grand Total: \$48,000.00
- Grand Total: \$311,559.00 (for 2003 model)
- Cooperative: YES
- Delivery: 90-120 Days

* Columbia Freightliner proposed a 2004 model for an additional \$1,500.00*

Commissioner Stamper opened a bid from Broadway Truck Centers of St. Louis, MO.

- Total Extended Price for 2003 Tandem Axle Dump Truck: \$359,319.00
- Total for Trade-In Vehicle A: \$13,000.00
- Total for Trade-In Vehicle B: \$13,000.00
- Total for Trade-In Vehicle C: \$13,000.00
- Trade-In Grand Total: \$39,000.00
- Grand Total: \$320,319.00
- Cooperative: YES
- Delivery: 120-180 Days

Commissioner Stamper stated these bids will be forwarded to the department for evaluation and brought back forward with a recommendation for award by the Purchasing Department after the review.

B. Second Reading and Approval of Sole Source Renewals for 2003

Marlene Ridgeway stated this is a request to approve the listed sole source vendors be renewed through December 31, 2002 as listed on the memo from the Purchasing Department dated November 21, 2002.

Commissioner Elkin moved to approve the renewal of the following Sole Source Contracts through December 31, 2003:

<u>Vendor Name</u>	<u>Description</u>
- Accutime Corporation	- Time Clock Software
- Al Scheppers Motors, Inc.	- International DT466 Engine Parts and Castrol TranSynd Synthetic 50W Oil
- CareGraph	- Maintenance
- Crown Power & Equipment	- OEM Parts for Case Backhoes and Wheel Loaders
- Elections Systems and Software	- Election Ballot Stock
- ESRI – Kansas City	- Software Maintenance for GIS System
- GW Van Keppel	- Chip/Seal Spreader Parts/Repair
- Knapheide Truck Equipment	- Parts & Repairs Heavy Equipment
- Mid-States Technology	- Auto/Heavy Truck Engine and Transmission Service and repair – BG Products
-Structural Preservation System	- Carbon Fiber Strengthening for Bridges
- Tri-State Construction	- Parts for Motorgraders
- Word Tech Business Systems	- Optika eMedia Maintenance

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 525-2002**

B. Second Reading and Award of Bid 69-29OCT02 (Culvert Liner Installation) and Approval of Budget Revision

Marlene Ridgeway stated this is a recommendation of award to Capital Railroad Contracting, Inc. The contract amount is \$38,073.00 pending the approval of a budget revision.

Commissioner Miller moved to approve the following budget revision:

DEPARTMENT ACCOUNT AND TITLE	AMOUNT DECREASE	AMOUNT INCREASE
2040-91300: Public Works – Machinery and Equipment – New	\$14,000.00	
2040-92300: Public Works – Machinery and Equipment – Replacement	\$15,000.00	
2040-92400: Public Works – Trucks – Replacement	\$95,000.00	
2040-26200: Public Works – Rock	\$36,000.00	
2040-71100: Public Works – Outside Services		\$56,000.00
2040-60200: Public Works – Equipment Repairs and Maintenance		\$104,000.00

Said budget revision is for the funding of the culver liner and to transfer funds to equipment repairs and maintenance for repair of oil distributor.

The County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby award bid 69-29OCT02 for Culver Liner Installation to Capital Railroad Contracting, Inc per the recommendation of the Purchasing Department.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 526-2002**

C. Second Reading and Award of Bid 74-12NOV02 (Georgetown Subdivision Storm Drainage Improvements)

Marlene Ridgeway stated this is a recommendation of award to Lehman Construction of California, MO. The total contract price is \$144,049.00.

Commissioner Miller moved to award bid 74-12NOV02 for Georgetown Subdivision Storm Drainage Improvements to Lehman Construction of California, MO.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 527-2002**

Subject: Presentation of Options for Commission Redistricting

Wendy S. Noren, Boone County Clerk, was present on behalf of this item.

Wendy Noren stated when the 2000 Census data was released; she did a presentation for the Commission about the Commission Districts being out of tolerance requiring a redistricting for the first time since 1970 to equalize the population. The County's population in 2000 was 135,454, with the Commission District I population being 75,182 and Commission District II population being 60,272. The ideal district population is 67,727, which means that District I will need to be decreased by 7,455.

State Statutes don not require redistricting because the Statutes never assumed the County Commission districts would ever follow the "one man, one vote" idea. These have come out of court cases in the late 1960's that determine that local government and legislative bodies have to follow the "one man, one vote" idea.

In a non-congressional redistricting, the courts would allow for a 5% tolerance against the ideal population. The 5% tolerance is the smallest population difference between districts and for Boone County this would be 3,386. This would mean the lowest the population difference could be is 64,341 and the highest is 71,796.

Ms. Noren noted the State Statute does state County Commission districts do have to follow township boundaries. The township boundaries have to be changed before the district lines can be redrawn. When this was discussed over a year ago, the Commission gave Ms. Noren the direction to take people in the northern most part of District I and move them to District II.

The Commission was also concerned about the inequality of the number of townships between the districts. Currently, District I has two townships and District II has five townships. Ms. Noren is also proposing to create three new townships in District I.

Ms. Noren presented two options for Commission Redistricting.

Option 1

Move from District I to District II	703	VTD 2B – <i>Part 21.96%</i>
	1,722	VTD 22 – <i>Part 41.15%</i>
	2,453	VTD 2F – <i>ALL</i>
	2,498	VTD 3A – <i>ALL</i>
Total Moved	7,376	
District I Population	67,806	
District II Population	67,648	
District I Variance	0.12%	<i>Over 79</i>
District II Variance	-0.12%	<i>Under 79</i>

*VTD – Census Voting District

Ms. Noren noted the area that will be moved from District I to District II in Option I has a north boundary of Rocky Fork Township, west to Highway 763, south to Blue Ridge Road, east to Oakland Gravel Road, southeast to the Railroad Line, north to the Rocky Fork Township line.

Option 2

Move from District I to District II	3,201	VTD 2B – <i>ALL</i>
	1,722	VTD 22 – <i>Part 41.15%</i>
	2,453	VTD 2F – <i>ALL</i>
	2,498	VTD 3A – <i>ALL</i>
Total Moved	9,874	
District I Population	65,308	
District II Population	70,146	
District I Variance	-3.57%	<i>Under 2,419</i>
District II Variance	3.57%	<i>Over 2,419</i>

Ms. Noren noted the area that will be moved from District I to District II in Option II has a north boundary of Rocky Fork Township, west to Highway 763, south to Interstate 70, east to the Railroad Line, north to the Rocky Fork Township line.

Either of these options would directly affect Commissioner Miller because it would move her out of District I into District II.

The voting precincts that would be affected by these changes would be Blue Ridge Elementary School, Derby Ridge Elementary School, Oakland Junior High School, and the Masonic Lodge.

Ms. Noren noted she tried to move people in the western portion of the County but could not get a clean line to keep neighborhoods together.

Commissioner Miller stated she prefers Option II because it has clean lines to be able to explain to the public. Commissioner Elkin agreed with Commissioner Miller and also because of the growth in population in the southwest portion of the County, the numbers will eventually be closer than 2,500.

Ms. Noren stated townships do not have to have equal population. She is thinking about the option of adding one township in the western portion of the County and two townships in the southern portion of the County. These three townships would be in District I. The Commission needs to decide which township the people who are being moved from District I to District II should be in.

Commissioner Miller stated the advantage of moving the people that will be moved from District I to District II will allow for the pool of people to draw from for Commissions and Boards.

Ms. Noren stated Cedar and Missouri Township names will remain but she has proposed to divide Missouri Township along Perche Creek. She discussed the proposed lines for the townships in District I.

Commissioner Stamper asked what the sequence would be for redistricting for adoption by the Commission and if this would take place in the next cycle of elections. Ms. Noren stated the township boundaries could be made effective at anytime.

Commissioner Miller stated she would like to see the new boundaries effective immediately.

Ms. Noren stated State Statute allows for township boundaries to be adjusted at anytime. The Commission Districts would not take effect until January 1, 2005, after the next election has been conducted.

Commissioner Stamper stated it is the Commission's intent to adopt this redistricting this year.

Ms. Noren stated the townships need to be approved because the political party's County committee people are elected or appointed from townships.

There was discussion about the boundary lines of the townships, how those lines would be in a geographical sense but still close in population, and the addition of new townships would allow for better County representation on boards or commissions.

Commissioner Stamper stated since it is the Commission's intent to adopt the redistricting this year, there should be opportunity for public comment before adoption. He requested a comment period at each Commission meeting for the remainder of the year.

Commissioner Miller stated she would like to be able to take these maps to the Hartsburg and or Ashland Council meeting, if there are any scheduled, before the end of the year to hear comment on the township lines. She suggested attending the Optimist Club breakfast.

Commissioner Stamper requested the District Commissioners to take these options out for discussion in their districts.

He noted it is the Commission's intent to make a decision on the issue of specific district and township lines prior to the end of the year. A tentative date of December 30, 2002 has been set for the adoption of district and township lines with the naming of the new townships to come at a later date.

Ms. Noren noted before the order can be adopted, a legal description of the area will need to be drafted.

Commissioner Stamper requested on December 26, 2002, there be a Commission agenda item for adoption of a Commission District plan with the final approval and adoption, with a legal description, of the Commission Districts is set for December 30, 2002.

The Commission thanked Ms. Noren for her work on these options.

There was no further discussion on this issue.

Subject: Sheriff's Department

A. Second Reading and Approval of Budget Revisions

Commissioner Stamper moved to approve the following budget revision:

DEPARTMENT ACCOUNT AND TITLE	AMOUNT DECREASE	AMOUNT INCREASE
1251-48050: Sheriff – Cellular Phones	\$3,000.00	

1251-49000: Sheriff – Gasoline	\$1,500.00	
1251-59105: Sheriff – Tires	\$1,500.00	
1251-91301: Sheriff – Computer Hardware		\$6,000.00

Said budget revision is for the purchase of two laptop computers for the School Resource Officers.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 528-2002**

Commissioner Stamper moved to approve the following budget revision:

DEPARTMENT ACCOUNT AND TITLE	AMOUNT DECREASE	AMOUNT INCREASE
1251-91300: Sheriff – Machinery and Equipment	\$1,669.00	
1251-91400: Sheriff – Auto/Truck	\$2,572.00	
1251-92300: Sheriff – Replacement Machinery and Equipment	\$909.00	
1251-92400: Sheriff – Replacement Auto/Truck		\$5,150.00

Said budget revision is for the purchase of a Ford Explorer.

Commissioner Miller seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 529-2002**

B. First Reading of Officer Protective Equipment Purchase

Major O.J. Stone was present on behalf of this item.

Major Stone stated this is for the Weapons of Mass Destruction Equipment contract with the City of Columbia. The City of Columbia, under their memorandum of agreement, received a substantial amount of funds from SEMA for the purchase of equipment for the

Weapons of Mass Destruction and efforts of Law Enforcement and Public Safety. There was a lot of negotiation between the County and City and an agreement was reached on the amounts of funding that can be split between the different Public Safety agencies.

Major Stone reviewed what equipment will be purchased by the Sheriff's Department. He noted the items will be purchased and then reimbursed through each agencies contract with SEMA.

There was no further discussion on this item.

Commissioner Stamper stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

Subject: Human Resources – Second Reading and Approval of Job Reclassification

Commissioner Miller moved to approve the job reclassification from Office Specialist (Range 15) to Deputy Recorder (Range 21) of two positions in the Recorder of Deeds Office per the recommendation from the Human Resources Department. Said reclassification shall have an effective date of September 26, 2002.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 530-2002**

Subject: First Reading of Contract with Curators of the University of Missouri (Medical Examiner Services)

Commissioner Stamper requested this item be tabled indefinitely until further direction is given.

Subject: Information Technology – First Reading to Receive Administrative Authority to Purchase Equipment from Cooperative Contracts

Michael Mallicoat, Information Technology Director, was present on behalf of this item.

Michael Mallicoat stated the purpose of this request is to seek administrative authority for the Information Technology Department to purchase laptop computers, personal computers, peripherals and printers from cooperative contracts for the fiscal year 2003. The department's current authority expires 12/31/02. Cooperative contracts include the State, NACo and WISKA. This type of request has been made and approved for the past 3 years.

Last year, Commission Order #523-2001 was issued November 15, 2001, to extend Administrative Authority to the Information Technology department to purchase laptop computers, personal computers, and peripherals from Cooperative Contracts. Following are excerpts from the minutes of that meeting:

“Commissioner Miller stated this is the third year that the department is requesting the ability of the department to purchase these products from State contract, in an as needed basis. This is efficient and is cost effective for the County. There are three cooperative contracts, two are national, and the other is state. The Purchasing Department is in agreement that this is the best way for the County to go.

Commissioner Elkin stated he spoke with Melinda Bobbitt about this and she told him that there could be up to two hundred bids, from across the nation, for these items.

Commissioner Miller stated it is hard to know if someone has the support to be able to fulfill the bid. Many times John Patton, County Counsel, has had to help the County get out of contracts because people were not able to meet the contract that was signed. This is the best solution as fast as technology moves.

Commissioner Miller moved to approve the request from the Information Technology Department for Administrative Authority to purchase laptop computers, personal computers, peripherals and printers from cooperative contracts for the fiscal year 2002.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion. There was no discussion or public comment. The motion passed 2-0.”

The same reasons still apply for the need to have this Administrative Authority granted for FY03.

Commissioner Miller stated that Mr. Mallicoat mentioned printers but printers are not a part of his request. Mr. Mallicoat he thought it was included in last years request and approved.

Commissioner Miller stated that was incorrect because the printers were bid last year and this has been a policy. Mr. Mallicoat stated last year’s request did include printers.

Commissioner Miller asked if the County did buy printers from cooperative bids in 2002. Mr. Mallicoat stated that was correct.

Mr. Mallicoat stated he intended to include printers in his request. Commissioner Miller noted in the heading of the memo, printers are not included even though Mr. Mallicoat did say printers in the memo.

Commissioner Miller stated she believes the current system for purchase is working well.

There was no further discussion on this item.

Commissioner Miller stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

Subject: Discussion – Revisions to Mobile Home Park Regulations

Commissioner Miller stated the Commission heard a request by the Grass Roots Organization (GRO) of 16 proposals for the Mobile Home Park Regulations earlier this year. The Commission then requested County Counselor, John Patton, to review the proposals to determine what the County can legally do, not do, and what was already established as a function by another entity or agency.

John Patton stated the County has no specific statutory authority to do rent control or to regulate the relationships between landlords and tenants. Some of the proposals deal with these issues. If the Commission wants the authority for these issues, there will have to be legislative authority given to the County.

The County does have a Mobile Home Park Ordinance, which has been in effect since the early 1970's. All the Ordinance does is to establish construction standards for mobile home parks, principally, and there are some operation regulations in the Ordinance.

The proposals can be broken into three categories, 1) the regulation of the operation of mobile home parks, 2) capital improvements, and 3) landlord/tenant relations.

He believes through the Zoning Enabling Act, the County can regulate the operation of mobile home parks, as the County does with other land uses.

He does not believe the County can regulate landlord/tenant relations, there is a whole chapter in the Missouri Statutes that deals with this issues and the County does not have any specific authority to do this. If the County does want the authority for this, there will need to be legislation authorized to allow for this to be handled by the County.

Regarding capital improvements proposals, the County can establish, impose, and enforce these standards with any new mobile home park. For significant capital improvements for existing parks, the County would probably run into problems unless the County identified those issues that are most significant in regards to health and safety issues and phased in the requirements. There was a proposal presented by GRO for street lighting and this might be able to be imposed by the County on existing parks, if it was phased in. He believes the County would run into regulatory taking issues if the County began retroactively imposing new standards on parks that were built under the old standards.

Mr. Patton stated this could be handled internally as a part of the revisions to the Zoning Ordinances. There are some proposals that are already covered by State Law. The County would need to make a policy decision for lagoons, for example, if the County wants to duplicate authority regulated by other agencies. This should be approached as a part of the revisions to the Zoning Ordinances or as a separate issue, in which a committee would need to be appointed to bring forward recommendations to the Commission.

Commissioner Stamper noted the County Counselor's legal evaluation on Grass Roots Organizations recommendations for Mobile Home Park Regulation changes have been submitted to the Public Record.

Commissioner Stamper stated he thinks this should be referred to the Planning and Zoning Commission and ask for their evaluation and recommendation on which proposals could be included in the Zoning Ordinance.

The County Commission should also identify what they are willing to go after statutorily and there are a number of areas where the County would require statutory authority in order to be able to have involvement. He believes there are merits to Mr. Patton's evaluations. There are some areas where the County does have the authority and other areas that are clearly not in the County's jurisdiction or authority. There are some areas where the County should have the authority.

Commissioner Miller asked about the health inspections and the County's authority in Ordinances. Mr. Patton stated there is a general nuisance ordinance and this is principally what the Health Department would deal with such as tall grass and other nuisances that exist. Many of the other issues proposed by GRO would have to be through Planning and Building Inspections.

Commissioner Miller asked if the County has the authority to adopt a regulation that would allow the County to do the inspection on the lagoons even though the Department of Natural Resources does have this authority. Mr. Patton stated Boone County Government proper has not formed itself into any regional or county-wide sewer district. This could be done and adopt a set of regulations dealing with control of wastewater.

Commissioner Miller asked if this could be contracted with the Sewer District. Mr. Patton stated the Sewer District could do this and would probably be better if they did to avoid the duplication of services, if they are willing to.

Commissioner Miller stated this seems to be one of the biggest issues that has been brought forward is dealing with the sewage systems, the lagoons that are not fenced in, and the fear of children getting into the lagoon area. DNR does not have the staff to monitor those items, even though the regulations require that these areas be fenced. Mr. Patton stated it is also possible to have the Sewer District have a cooperative agreement

with DNR. The County could adopt many regulations, which would be duplicative. The real issue is man power and enforcement. He noted the City Health Department acts as an agent for the State Health Department on a number of enforcement issues and he does not see why this could not be done with the Sewer District and DNR.

Commissioner Miller stated she prefers to do something like this, if this is the route the Commission wants to take. She does agree with Commissioner Stamper to have the Planning and Zoning Commission review the proposals to see what they are willing to include in the Zoning Ordinances. The items that cannot be included in the Zoning Ordinance should be reviewed to see if those items can be handled by the Health Department or other agencies or enabling legislation.

Commissioner Elkin asked if the County begins doing State Agencies jobs what precedent would this set. This needs to be discussed by the Commission and if this is done, who would pay for these services. Commissioner Stamper stated hypothetically, there would be a contract between the Sewer District and DNR.

Commissioner Elkin stated some of the evaluations by Mr. Patton do have merit and this is something that will have to be worked through.

Commissioner Stamper opened the floor for a public hearing.

Mary Hussman, 5306 Rice Road, GRO Representative, asked if Mr. Patton's document opened to the public because GRO would like to review the document and make it more understandable for the public. Commissioner Stamper stated it is a part of the Public Record. He noted the Commission would probably be spending some time in worksessions and does not believe there will any action will be taken before the end of the year.

Ms. Hussman stated this has been a complex issue for GRO. It is disappoint that Commissioner Stamper will not be passing these because it will be difficult for GRO to educate a new person on the issues. Commissioner Stamper stated he believes the Commissioners will take this issue up, as they do every other issue, and that GRO will have representation.

Ms. Hussman stated there is hope the Health Department will have consultation in this issue. She discussed the private water supply at mobile home parks. Also, she discussed the issue of abandoned mobile home and the differences between the City's regulations and the County's.

Edward Berg, 1215 S. Fairview, Columbia, stated he urges the Commission to review the current ordinance on mobile home parks and try to incorporate some of these proposals from GRO into that ordinance. Mr. Berg discussed the proposals and where they could be incorporated into the existing ordinance for mobile home parks.

Commissioner Stamper noted it will be difficult to force an existing mobile home park to comply with a new standard.

Mr. Berg stated Mr. Patton previously stated this could be done on a gradual, phased basis for those parks that do not comply at this point. If this is done on a gradual basis, existing parks cannot be “grandfathered in”. Commissioner Stamper stated for some of the existing parks that is the way it would have to be.

Mr. Berg stated the Commission is here for the safety of the public and people that live in mobile homes are some of the most needy residents in the area. Many of the conditions that mobile home residents live in are similar to conditions of third world countries. He believes this is one of the greatest counties in the state in protecting the public.

He noted when the mobile home regulations were adopted there was a grandfather clause that all parks that existed at that time did not have to comply. Those mobile homes still have not complied and have not done anything to improve the environment for the residents safe. He urges the Commission to make sure this does not happen again.

There was no further public comment.

Commissioner Stamper closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Stamper stated this will be referred to the Commission for future discussions. He requested a copy be forwarded to the Health Department and Planning and Zoning Commission for review.

Subject: Authorize Presiding Commissioner to sign MAC Affidavits

Commissioner Miller stated she has received the affidavit on the Assessment fund signed by the Boone County Assessor that states as of today, Boone County has not been shorted any funding based on the State’s change from \$6.20 to \$5.50. Also, the Boone County Treasurer signed the affidavit for the Prisoner Per Diem stating as of today, Boone County has been shorted \$7,739.50 between August and November of this year. She is holding the CERF Affidavit because it has not been finalized.

Commissioner Miller moved to authorize the submittal of the following affidavits:

- Boone County Assessor identifying ZERO has been withheld from the State of Missouri to date, and
- Boone County Treasurer identifying \$7,739.50 has been withheld from the County of Boone by the State of Missouri between August 14, 2002 to November 27, 2002.

Commissioner Elkin seconded the motion.

There was no discussion and no public comment.

The motion passed 3-0. **Order 531-2002**

Subject: First Reading of Codification of Boone County Health Regulations

John Patton stated he received a request from the Prosecuting Attorney and the Health Department to take the six chapters of the existing County Health Regulations, adding the code and adding one chapter at the beginning which takes all the duplicate provisions in each chapter and putting them in Chapter 1. Also, this would add a general provision about having the County Clerk keeping the code up to date so there will be a whole and final document for the public.

There was no discussion on this item.

Commissioner Stamper stated this is a first reading and requested the Deputy County Clerk to schedule this item for a second reading at the next available meeting with an appropriate order for approval.

Commissioner Stamper requested all reports scheduled for this agenda be held until the next available meeting.

There was no public comment.

The meeting adjourned at 10:50 a.m.

Attest:

Don Stamper
Presiding Commissioner

Wendy S. Noren
Clerk of the County Commission

Karen M. Miller
District I Commissioner

Skip Elkin
District II Commissioner